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1. Background

Cambodia developed the Readiness Plan Proposal on REDD+ (“the Roadmap”), outlining how the country plans to move ahead with REDD+ readiness in 2010. In the following year, the UN-REDD National Programme in Cambodia (“UN-REDD Programme”) began with national implementing partners from the Ministry of Environment and the Ministry of Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries. The UN-REDD Programme was specifically designed to support the implementation of the Roadmap. Stakeholder consultation and participation is one of the key areas in the Roadmap and is guided by the general principle that the development of REDD+ readiness in Cambodia should be “inclusive and balanced, both between Government agencies and non-Government stakeholders”.

The Roadmap outlines the way in which stakeholders will engage in the REDD+ process, namely through the creation of a Cambodia REDD+ Consultation Group (“Consultation Group”) along with a set of principles identified for effective consultation. Representing civil society, indigenous peoples, forest-dependent communities, NGOs, the private sector, and academic institutions, the Consultation Group will support the REDD+ Taskforce by providing recommendations representing the views of different stakeholder groups. The Consultation Group is also expected to play a pivotal role in planning and conducting awareness-raising activities and training.

The selection of representatives for the Consultation Group is considered an important step in stakeholder coordination, as the Roadmap discusses the current structure of civil society networks and lack of processes to establish representatives and communication lines within and among stakeholder groups. In order to establish the Consultation Group, the REDD+ Taskforce Secretariat began facilitating the selection process in November 2012. The process built in part upon the lessons from the experience selecting civil society and indigenous peoples’ representatives for the UN-REDD Programme Executive Board, which was initiated in May and completed in September 2012. This document describes the steps that led to the final selection of the Consultation Group representatives as well as the discussions behind the decisions in the process.

---

1 The Interim REDD+ Taskforce and stakeholder groups developed the Roadmap. The Interim REDD+ Taskforce was formed in January 2010 by an inter-agency decision to develop plans for REDD+ readiness. It was facilitated and coordinated by the Forestry Administration with members from the Ministry of Environment and Ministry of Land Management, Urban Planning and Construction, etc.

2 Cambodia Readiness Plan Proposal on REDD+ (Cambodia REDD+ Roadmap), version 4.0, 13 March 2011: 23.

3 Ibid: 38.

4 The Taskforce was established in February 2012, chaired by the Deputy Director General of Forestry Administration, and vice-chaired by the Deputy Director General of General Department of Natural Protection and Conservation of Ministry of Environment - See more at: http://www.cambodia-redd.org/category/national-redd-framework/redd-taskforce#sthash.Qcppz0Qk.dpuf

5 Cambodia Readiness Plan Proposal on REDD+ (Cambodia REDD+ Roadmap), version 4.0, 13 March 2011: 39.
2. Lessons from the Previous Selection Process

Before the preparation for the Consultation Group selection, stakeholders in Cambodia were engaged in the process of selecting representatives from civil society organizations (“CSOs”) and indigenous peoples (“IPs”) for the Cambodia UN-REDD Programme’s Executive Board (“PEB”). CSO and IP representation is required on the PEB, in order to be compliant with UN-REDD guidelines on stakeholder engagement, which recognize that stakeholder engagement is essential for the success of REDD+ initiatives. Unlike the Taskforce, which is responsible for managing readiness activities at national level, the role and scope of the PEB are limited to the activities under the UN-REDD Programme.

Discussions with CSOs and IP groups began in May 2012. Outreach to key IP and forestry networks was coordinated by NGOs, supported by the UN-REDD Programme. Provincial REDD+ awareness-raising workshops were predominantly conducted for IP selection whereas the forestry networks were invited to nominate suitable network representatives to stand as candidates for the PEB. In both instances, information regarding the CSO and IP engagement of the UN-REDD Programme and representative selection was disseminated at the workshops. As a result of these activities, 80 members from 34 CSOs and 12 IP groups came together to discuss the selection process in early September 2012. A self-selection process was encouraged and supported by the members, and the eligibility and criteria for the candidates were developed based on the tasks and responsibilities of the representatives. After identifying and nominating the candidates, elections were held to select one representative each from CSOs and IP groups during a three-day workshop from 25 to 27 September 2012.

Criticisms and lessons

The workshop was attended by more than 130 participants and marked a milestone in stakeholder engagement in REDD+ activities in the country. At the same time, the selection process spurred debates on the issues of inclusiveness and transparency. For example, some organizations were still not aware of the selection activity, while some candidates were also involved in developing the selection process. The IP candidates were nominated from eight provinces and six indigenous groups, although there are 15 provinces with an IP presence in Cambodia. In addition, some provincial authorities were familiar with the IP members who were selected as candidates. Furthermore, after the selection, a concern was raised as to whether having one representative per group was sufficient.

Two ideas have emerged from these concerns and lessons: an independent committee should be formed to conduct outreach to stakeholders and lead the process, and a group of IP representatives should be established from the 15 provinces. The idea of the independent committee, which later became the “Voluntary Facilitation Committee,” played an important role in the Consultation Group selection process. The IP representative selection process was formed in parallel with the preparation for the Consultation Group selection. The 15 representatives were invited to the Consultation Group selection workshop and voted for representatives.

---

6 Joint UN-REDD and FCPF Guidelines on Stakeholder Engagement in REDD+ Readiness, with a Focus on the Participation of Indigenous Peoples and Other Forest-Dependent Communities, 20 April 2012 (revision of 25 March version).
3. Voluntary Facilitation Committee

Emerging from the experience in the PEB representative selection process, the idea of creating a multi-stakeholder committee to facilitate the process of establishing the Consultation Group was endorsed (see Section 1). The aim was to make the process more transparent and open and avoid any suggestion that any particular group, including the government or a few NGOs, was directing the process. The committee was formed during an iterative process (e.g. the members were three in the beginning, increased to 19, and eventually settled at about nine), and the details of the selection process were developed through many meetings and discussions.

3.1. Establishing the Committee

The REDD+ Taskforce Secretariat (“Secretariat”) prepared an invitation to be part of the committee, titled “Looking for 5 Volunteers to be Members of Interim Committee to Select the REDD+ Consultation Group.” This invitation asked interested individuals to submit their CV, a profile of their organization, and a peer endorsement. The suggested criteria for potential members included at least three years of experience in relevant sectors, active representation of their group, and experience working with government (Annex 9.1). The invitation was announced and advertised widely through newspapers, websites, email mailing lists/networks, and word-of-mouth.

Only three submissions were received after the initial announcement. While all three were endorsed as committee members in December 2012, the Secretariat and the new members continued to disseminate information by re-advertising the announcement and reaching out to others using their networks and holding informational meetings. As a result of these efforts, 31 submissions were received, of which 19 submissions were considered qualified by the VFC.

In selecting additional members to the committee, it was decided that all 19 individuals should be part of the committee instead of five members as originally advertised by the Secretariat. The following opinions and observations from the members led to this decision:

- The members are volunteers, and this committee is established to foster a more open and inclusive process. If they are willing to contribute to the process, why should any of them be rejected?
- It is unlikely that all of them will be able to attend the meetings regularly. The members have full-time jobs and other commitments. Some of them may lose interest or willingness over time. It is wise to keep a larger pool of volunteers.

---

7 Disqualified applications were mostly from students on the basis of not meeting the criterion on length of work experience.
In February 2013, the “Voluntary Facilitation Committee” (“VFC”), representing various organizations including NGOs, indigenous peoples, and the private sector\(^8\), was established with 19 members and had its first meeting. Subsequently, the active members were reduced to nine as individuals found they could not commit to the level of effort required.

The VFC had a total of 15 meetings between February and the mid-August, with a rotating Chairmanship at each meeting. Who and how many that attended varied at each meeting, posing a challenge for the VFC to ensure continuity of discussions. In order to continue and build on the work, minutes of the meetings were circulated and heavily relied upon. When participating members were less than five, the VFC meeting still continued, with discussions recorded, but members refrained from making any decisions.\(^9\)

### 3.2. Defining the Role

The VFC members started their work by defining their roles and objectives. In developing their own Terms of Reference (“ToR”), the members relied on information provided by the Secretariat as well as members who had been involved in the development of the Roadmap. The role of the Consultation Group, the background and intention of establishing the VFC, and the progress and status of REDD+ readiness in Cambodia were discussed. Throughout the entire process, the Secretariat informed VFC members about developments at national level, including the establishment of the REDD+ Taskforce in February, and the date of its first meeting, which eventually took place in September. As a result of the discussions, the members were able to clarify the objectives, tasks, and means of communications (Box 3). Initially, they expected to complete their tasks by May, based on an understanding that the Consultation Group should be formed before the Taskforce’s first meeting in September.

In addition to the tasks related to the selection process, the VFC decided to develop ToR for the Consultation Group. The role of the Secretariat was also clarified as one of arranging and recording meetings for VFC members and providing necessary information and materials required for members to complete their tasks (Box 2).

---

\(^8\) Organizations included PACT, NTFP-EP, Winrock International, USAID Cambodia, Grandis Timber Limited (Commercial Reforestation), Cambodia Indigenous Youth Association (CIYA), Buddhism for Development, NGO Forum on Cambodia, and the RTS (Fisheries Administration).

\(^9\) Interviews with VFC members, on 25 September and 2 October 2013.
Box 3: Excerpts from ToR Voluntary Facilitation Committee for the REDD+ Consultation Group Selection Process

**Objective**

To facilitate the establishment of the REDD+ Consultation Group through a multi-stakeholder consultative process

**Tasks**

The Voluntary Facilitation Committee is expected to:

- Validate and finalize a Terms of Reference (ToR) that outlines the roles and responsibilities of the CG
- Determine the procedure and criteria to establish the CG which includes:
  - Develop criteria to select CG members based on expected roles and responsibilities;
  - Draft the announcement to invite interested candidates to apply as CG members;
  - Verify/assess candidates against pre-determined criteria;
  - Facilitate the selection process; and
  - Confirm selected candidates for CG.
- Meet at least twice a month
- Certificate for the VFC after the mission

**Means of Communications**

- Discussion, meeting
- Email or list-serve or mailing list
- Phone call (if required)
- Website (Cambodia REDD+ Programme)
- Minute shall be finalized and shared within one week.
- Secretariat will play role as focal point and coordinate with all members of VFC.
- All members will have to reply to the secretariat their comments, confirmation, etc.

**Overall administration:**

- Coordination: invitation, meeting , facilities, refreshment, follow up, etc. (By Secretariat)
- Members will take a role in coordinating each meeting (By the VFC)
- Record and disseminate minutes of discussions (By Secretariat and Chair of the meeting)
- Provide relevant documents in English (in Khmer if required) (By Secretariat)
- File relevant documents in the Secretariat office that can be accessed upon request (By Secretariat)
- Agenda shall be shared three days before the meeting.
- Documents will be shared three days before the meeting.
4. REDD+ Consultation Group

The Consultation Group is envisioned to play a pivotal role in REDD+ stakeholder engagement in Cambodia. Representing different groups of stakeholders, the Consultation Group will be informed of the progress of and decisions by the REDD+ Taskforce, and provide comments and recommendations. Therefore, it is important for the Consultation Group members to communicate with wider stakeholder groups and establish mechanisms to receive feedback from their constituencies. The VFC members refined the ToR for the REDD+ Consultation Group based on its anticipated role in the REDD+ readiness process as defined in the Roadmap, and taking account of subsequent developments.

4.1. Responsibility

The VFC summarized the responsibilities of the Consultation Group (Annex 9.3). The main role of the Consultation Group is to provide comments, make recommendations, and/or express concerns to the Taskforce on behalf of their constituencies, particularly on issues related to stakeholder engagement and safeguards. Its members are expected to communicate and coordinate with their respective constituencies by providing information and informing the Taskforce of any developments or concerns relating to REDD+ from the constituencies. If any member of the Consultation Group does not fulfill his/her responsibilities as a representative, continued membership in the Consultation Group will be reviewed through a process, which is currently being set up.

4.2. Membership

The Roadmap identified several stakeholder groups to be part of the Consultation Group – civil society, indigenous peoples groups, NGO/REDD project developers, the private sector, and academic institutions. Furthermore, the Consultation Group was to consist of up to 10 members, and at least four members should represent civil society and indigenous peoples’ groups.10

The VFC members identified other stakeholder groups, namely Community Forestry (CF), Community Fishery (CFi), and Community Protected Area (CPA) groups. Some of the other groups were further defined and re-categorized. For example, NGOs were divided into two groups, international and national NGOs, while civil society was defined as sub-national NGOs as well as other community groups and organizations that were registered at the provincial level.

After a series of discussions, the number of representatives was determined as two per stakeholder group. This is consistent with one of the lessons from the PEB representative selection showing that one representative per group was considered to be insufficient. As nine stakeholder groups were identified, 18 representatives will be included in the Consultation Group:

- 2 members from national NGOs;
- 2 members from international NGOs;
- 2 members from civil society organizations;
- 2 members from indigenous peoples’ groups;

---

10 Cambodia Readiness Plan Proposal on REDD+ (Cambodia REDD+ Roadmap), version 4.0, 13 March 2011: 27.
• 2 members from the private sector;
• 2 members from academic institutions; and
• 6 members representing Community Forestry (CF) (2 representatives), Community Fishery (CFi) (2 representatives) and Community Protected Area (CPA) (2 representatives) networks.

The initial term is set for three years. The ToR also includes an option to nominate one alternate or proxy provided that he/she fits the selection criteria. This option is currently being reviewed by the Consultation Group.

4.3. Eligibility

The VFC established a set of standard criteria for the Consultation Group members (Box 4), and then revised them for each stakeholder group. The existing criteria, such as for the CSO and IP representatives for the PEB, were reviewed when developing the criteria for the Consultation Group members.\footnote{VFC minutes of the meeting, on 11 March 2013.}

As different stakeholder groups have different characteristics and expected inputs, the criteria for representatives were adjusted for each group. For example, university degree requirements were applicable only to NGOs, the private sector, and academia members. English proficiency was an optional attribute for civil society, indigenous peoples, CF, CFi, and CPA members. Furthermore, expectations for the national and international NGOs were understandably higher in terms of their knowledge of REDD+ and their capacity to provide guidance. On the other hand, one of the necessary requirements across the groups was that they represent an entity that is recognized in Cambodia, in addition to a written endorsement from the entity.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Box 4: Standard Criteria for the Consultation Group</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Requirements:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Consultation Group members must be a representative of a particular constituency;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Consultation Group members must be a representative of legal entities which have been actively engaged in the Cambodian forestry or natural resources sector (for at least...years);</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Consultation Group members must hold a university degree in the related field, such as forestry, fishery, environment, climate change, agriculture and natural resources; and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Consultation Group members must be endorsed by his/her organization/constituency (at least...letters).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Attributes:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Commitment to participate in the membership’s responsibilities;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Experience working with Community Based Natural Resources Management (CBNRM) or other related REDD+ network and group;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Good coordination, facilitation, communication, and interpersonal skills</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Good understanding of and relevant work experience with local communities and IPs context in Cambodia; and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Khmer and English proficiency.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Note:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No restriction on ethnic group, religion, gender, and people with disability.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5. Selection of Consultation Group Members

After developing the ToR and eligibility criteria for the Consultation Group, the VFC continued its work by developing the selection process. The selection was designed through the following four steps: 1) Announcement requesting applications; 2) Screening of applications; 3) Identification of eligible voters; and 4) Voting. The VFC members discussed the details of each step and made decisions one-by-one during meetings.

5.1. Announcement Requesting Applications

The announcement “Call for Experienced Voluntary Stakeholders: 18 Representatives to Form REDD+ Consultation Group” was developed by VFC with brief background information and a list of stakeholder groups that will form the Consultation Group (Annex 9.3). Interested candidates were requested to complete an application form and submit a profile of their organization, a letter of interest and peer endorsements.

The announcement was made in three different newspapers, on websites and in emails in May 2013. The VFC members were fully aware that the public announcements were not likely to reach the type of potential candidates for the Consultation Group and that the most effective means of communication was targeted emails, either through relevant or personal networks or peer-to-peer information sharing. Nevertheless, it was extremely important to make public announcements in order to demonstrate that the process was open and transparent.

5.2. Screening of Applications

In spite of the efforts by the VFC, a sufficient number of applications were not received initially for most of the stakeholder groups. It was particularly difficult to attract interested individuals from the private sector and academia; it was even more challenging to identify members of the CF, CFI, and CPA groups due to geographical constraints and lack of any official database. As the deadline approached, the VFC members, with help from their peers, had to make contact with stakeholders directly. They also assisted interested candidates with completing application forms and preparing other documents.

By late July 2013, at least two candidates for each stakeholder group were identified, with a total of 34 submissions, excluding the IP groups. The IP groups had a separate process to select one representative per province before participating in the Consultation Group selection (see Section 1). As a result, the candidates for the IP groups were not sought because all the IP representatives from the 15 provinces were assumed to be candidates.

When the VFC screened the applications, seven applicants did not meet the criteria due to inadequate experience. The final number of candidates for each group was as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Academia</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International NGO</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National NGO</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indigenous People</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Separate candidate identification process</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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The candidates for the private sector, CF, CFi, and CPA groups were elected by acclamation, because each of these groups only fielded two candidates for the two designated positions.

5.3. Identification of Eligible Voters

In electing the Consultation Group members, it was decided that eligible voters would be organizations based in Cambodia and not individuals. This is consistent with the requirements that the Consultation Group members must represent an organization or entity. Each organization was entitled to vote: 1) once; 2) for up to two candidates; and 3) only for the stakeholder group they belonged to. For example, a national NGO can only vote for candidates from the national NGO group, and only one person from the organization can cast a vote – but may vote for two candidates.

The VFC prepared a list of voters for each stakeholder group with support from the Secretariat. For some groups, such as the CF, CFi, and CPA groups, the eligibility of voters was self-evident, since there were pre-existing lists of these groups. In other cases, especially academia, the private sector, NGOs and CSOs, their eligibility was not self-evident. For this reason, the VFC member considered most knowledgeable about each of these groups was asked to make a judgment call in identifying which of the potential voters were truly eligible, based on the level of their engagement in REDD+ in the country.

5.4. Voting

Three voting options were proposed by the VFC, of which two were used:

- **In-person Voting** (vote by secret ballot): the main voting option that was offered on the second day of a two-day workshop in Phnom Penh. If eligible voters had already voted using the other voting options, they were not allowed to vote in-person. However, they were invited and encouraged to attend the workshop.

- **Online Voting**: offered to voters who were not able to come to the workshop for in-person voting. In order to maintain confidentiality of voters, it was administered by two UN-REDD Programme staff members in Bangkok, Thailand; thus, while the choices of individual voters were known to regional UN-REDD staff, this information was not conveyed to anyone in Cambodia – only the numbers of votes cast for each candidate was communicated. While this option was unlikely to be used by stakeholders from the CF, CFi, CPA, and IP groups due to lack of Internet access, it was offered as an option to all other stakeholder groups.

- **Postal Voting**: planned to be offered to voters who did not have access to Internet and were not able to come to the workshop for in-person voting. However, the stakeholder groups that were likely to have used this option had selected their representatives by acclamation (they only had two candidates). Therefore, this voting option was not used. All the IP candidates/voters attended the workshop for in-person voting.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stakeholder Group</th>
<th>Quota</th>
<th>Election Method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CSO</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private Sector</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Elected by acclamation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Forests</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Elected by acclamation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Fisheries</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Elected by acclamation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Protected Areas</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Elected by acclamation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The Secretariat prepared information about the roles and responsibilities of the Consultation Group members as well as the candidates’ biographies. This information was sent to eligible voters by email, made available on the REDD+ Cambodia website, and presented during the workshop.

Workshop

Combining awareness-raising activities with elections has become a popular approach in REDD+ stakeholder engagement in Cambodia. The initial PEB representative and provincial IP representative selections have also combined these two objectives. One benefit of this approach is that it provides an opportunity to gain general knowledge on REDD+, and at the same time, understand what the selected representatives are expected to do before they cast their votes. While the VFC members were fully aware that it would be ideal to conduct awareness-raising activities earlier in the process, which would have also helped attract more candidates, the time and budget constraints limited such options.

The two-day workshop was held in Phnom Penh on 29 to 30 August, and it was attended by more than 180 participants. Several presentations and group activities were conducted, before the selection on the second day (Annex 9.5).

Three presenters conducted their sessions in English. Simultaneous interpretation was provided on only the second day because an interpreter was not available on the first day. To remedy this, the Secretariat staff translated the presentations by speaking in turn with the presenter on the first day.

Secret Ballot

In preparing for in-person and online voting, the VFC paid particular attention to ensuring that the secret ballot was enforced in both options. In addition, confirmation was obtained that voters represented an organization that was eligible to vote and that only one person from the organization had voted.

During on-line voting, the secrecy and legitimacy of votes were maintained by the administrators. They verified the voters by contacting their organizations. The administrators were not allowed to disclose any information regarding individual votes, such as who voted for whom. Online voting started on 9 August and closed on 23 August 2013, whereas in-person voting took place during the selection workshop, held from 29 to 30 August 2013.

\[12\] The administrators found that one organization had cast more than one vote. Before the selection workshop, they resolved the situation by contacting the organization, who, after identifying its legitimate voter, withdrew other multiple votes.
During the workshop, in-person voting began after introducing candidates and showing their brief biographies on the screen. VFC members announced the names of eligible organizations for each stakeholder group with corresponding information on those who had voted online. Eligible voters who had not voted online were then invited to do so. They were provided with a voting slip that was color-coded by stakeholder group. Voters dropped their voting slips into the respective ballot box for their stakeholder group.

Calling the eligible voters (left), voting (middle), and demonstrating that the ballot box is empty after opening the votes (right)

In all, 99 organizations/institutions were called, amongst which 30 were present to vote. The 15 IP representatives were all present to vote for their two representatives; thus, the voter turnout was 100% for the IP group. The voting ratios for other stakeholder groups were low (from 21% for academia to 34% for CSOs) (See Table 2). This is partly due to a broad list of eligible voters developed by the VFC. In order to be as inclusive as possible and not to be accused on omitting organizations that may have an interest in REDD+, the VFC included in the eligible voter lists organizations that may have had only a tenuous link to REDD+.

Table 2: Breakdown of voters and by voting options and stakeholder groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Eligible voters</th>
<th>On-line voters</th>
<th>In person voters</th>
<th>Participants invited to Workshop</th>
<th>% of eligible voters who voted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Academia</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>21%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private sector</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International NGOs</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natl. NGOs</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>29%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSOs</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>34%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indigenous Peoples</td>
<td>15*</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CF</td>
<td>457</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CFi</td>
<td>516</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPA</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Not the total number of IPs or IP organizations, but the IP selection system identified 15 provincial candidates/electors

13 For the list of organizations to be invited to the workshop, the VFC narrowed down the list to those with a clear link to REDD+ due to logistical constraints on the number of people who are able to attend the workshop.

14 Note that eligible voters were organizations. Multiple representatives of many organizations were invited to the workshop, but only one vote was possible for each organization.
The VFC members opened the box and read each vote out loud. These votes were recorded manually on a flipchart and in a projected Excel spreadsheet by two different individuals in order to avoid miscounting and to verify the total number of votes later (see the photos below). While the voters were allowed to vote for either one or two candidates, most of them had voted for two candidates. The results from the online voting were disclosed and the votes from the ballot boxes were added to the count. A total of 31 organizations had voted online: 3 academia, 16 CSOs, 5 International NGOs, and 7 National NGOs.

The two candidates with the largest number of votes were selected as representatives of their respective group. The CSO group had two second-placed candidates. After a private discussion between themselves, one of the candidates stepped down. They explained the reasons: the level of commitment that may be required as a representative, their availability, in front of the participants at the workshop, who welcomed their decision by applause. A total of 10 representatives were elected by vote, adding to the eight representatives who were elected by acclamation.

After the selection

Immediately after forming the REDD+ Consultation Group, the first meeting was held in the afternoon of 30 August 2013. The VFC members and some other stakeholders stayed behind to observe the meeting. Among other things, the newly elected members discussed rules and procedures to govern the Consultation Group. The anticipated tasks and challenges included scheduling meetings, establishing mechanisms to communicate with their constituencies and not just the respective organizations, and clarifying ways in which the Consultation Group can contribute to the Taskforce meetings.

6. Costs of Consultation Group Representative Selection

The total direct costs of the Consultation Group representative selection process were US$ 40,585 (Table 3). Approximately half of the costs (US$ 21,000) were spent on the Indigenous Peoples’ representative selections in 15 provinces. Excluding the costs for IP selections, the costs for the workshop venue and daily subsistence allowance (“DSA”) accounted for the majority of the costs (70%), while the transportation costs for the workshop participants from outside of the capital city were about 18% (US$ 3,500). The total number of people who were invited to the workshop was 178, while 70 of them were paid for their transportation and DSA.

---

15 Interviews with VFC members on 25 September and 2 October 2013.
Based on the total costs and the number of representatives, the cost per one Consultation Group representative was about US$2,255 (18/$40,585), and the cost per IP representative was US$ 1,400 (15/$21,000).

Table 3: Breakdown of Selection Costs (in US$)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Cost per unit</th>
<th>Total cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Before the Workshop</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Announcements</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$250</td>
<td>$500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meetings</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>$2</td>
<td>$400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Workshop 29-30 August 2013</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stationaries (copies, booklets, pens, paper, etc.)</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$5</td>
<td>$750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Banners</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$150</td>
<td>$150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Workshop venue (2 days) (lunch, coffee and refreshments, and meeting rooms)</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>$23</td>
<td>$6,900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DSA for community representatives and NGOs based in Provinces</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>$32</td>
<td>$6,615</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transportation for community representatives and NGOs based in provinces</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$50</td>
<td>$3,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Translator</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$200</td>
<td>$200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Headphone and booth rental</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$1</td>
<td>$70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contingency</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$500</td>
<td>$500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$18,685</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Indigenous Peoples’ Representative Selection (15 provinces)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-meetings</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$200</td>
<td>$3,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selections</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$1,200</td>
<td>$18,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Subtotal</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$21,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$40,585</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In addition to the direct costs, opportunity costs can be estimated by incorporating the time that individuals spent on the selection process. The VFC had 15 meetings in which each meeting was about two hours long with five participants on average (15 meetings*2 hours*7 persons=210 hours). In the workshop, 70 participants were compensated for their time through DSA payments. The remainder of 108 participants spent two days of working hours at the workshop (108 persons*8 hours*2 days= 1,728 hours). Based on the median gross salaries for national staff of international and Cambodian NGOs, the total opportunity costs would range from approximately US$ 6,000 to 9,000 in 2012 dollar terms.\(^{16}\)

It is important to note that the indirect cost estimates above do not include the time outside of the meetings and the workshop. The time spent on outreaching to stakeholders and helping candidates with

---

\(^{16}\) Based on the median annual gross salaries for level 3 and 4 staff positions from the 2009 survey (Source: Cooperation Committee for Cambodia (2009), “Salary and Benefits for National Staff of International and Cambodian NGOs”), adjusted for Cambodian public holidays (23 days a year) and inflation (Source: World Bank, “World Development Indicator”).
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applications is not included, but those efforts made a significant contribution to the process and made the self-selection possible.

7. Analysis against Principles for Effective Stakeholder Engagement

The UN-REDD Programme and the Forest Carbon Partnership Facility developed common guiding principles for effective stakeholder engagement.17 The Cambodia’s Consultation Group selection process can be examined against the principles:

a. The consultation process should include a broad range of relevant stakeholders at the national and local levels.

The Consultation Group includes nine different stakeholder groups (national NGOs, international NGOs, civil society organizations, academia, the private sector, and community forestry, fishery, and protected area groups) The VFC identified more stakeholders who would be impacted by REDD+ than what was suggested in the Roadmap. The VFC and Secretariat paid particular attention to expanding the stakeholder contact list based on lessons learned from the previous PEB CSO and IP representatives selection.

At the national level, outreach to the stakeholders was conducted using existing NGO and CSO networks. While it was challenging to do so at the local level due to lack of such structures and geographical distance from Phnom Penh, the VFC and Secretariat utilized numerous opportunities to obtain relevant stakeholders’ contacts at the local level during the process. The birth of the Consultation Group, which includes CF, CFi, and CPA groups, marks the beginning of their efforts to establish an exhaustive list of relevant stakeholders at the local level.

b. Consultations should be premised on transparency and timely access to information.

All the announcements calling for VFC members and Consultation Group members were made public. When the number of interested individuals was insufficient, the announcements were repeated and targeted efforts were made.

The Secretariat had a major role in providing relevant information and updates to the VFC, and the information was passed down from the VFC to stakeholders. The relevant materials were made available online and sent to stakeholders via email before the elections. However, some of the stakeholders did not have access to the Internet. Some stakeholders, especially those in remote areas, reviewed the materials (e.g., candidates’ biographies) for the first time at a two-day workshop where in-person voting took place. Some candidates argued that voters should have been given more time to review the materials in order to understand REDD+, the role of the Consultation Group, and the candidates’ backgrounds. Targeted communications in the form of

17 These Guidelines are designed to support effective stakeholder engagement in the context of REDD+ readiness for the Forest Carbon Partnership Facility and the UN-REDD Programme, with an emphasis on the participation of Indigenous Peoples and other Forest-Dependent Communities (Source: Joint UN-REDD and FCPF Guidelines on Stakeholder Engagement in REDD+ Readiness, with a Focus on the Participation of Indigenous Peoples and Other Forest-Dependent Communities, 20 April 2012 (revision of 25 March version)).
workshops or informational meetings (through community leaders, if identified) are recommended for those in remote areas.

c. **Consultations should facilitate dialogue and exchange of information, and consensus building reflecting broad community support should emerge from consultation.**

The formation of the VFC was the beginning of an effort to gain community support. The VFC’s outreach facilitated dialogue and exchange of information, which increased the level of interest from stakeholders. The Consultation Group is expected to play the main role in reflecting the views of different stakeholders and building consensus before making recommendations to the Taskforce.

d. **Consultations with indigenous peoples must be carried out through their own existing processes, organizations and institutions, e.g., councils of elders, headmen and tribal leaders.**

The collective organization of indigenous peoples in Cambodia is weak. The separate selection process initiated under REDD+ represents one of the few initiatives to encourage broader inclusion and eventual institutionalization of indigenous peoples into decision-making processes at the national level. The team that facilitated this process for the 15 provinces consulted with key representatives and organizations to ensure it respected existing indigenous processes where they exist.

e. **Special emphasis should be given to the issues of land tenure, resource-use rights and property rights because in many tropical forest countries these are unclear as indigenous peoples’ customary/ancestral rights may not necessarily be codified in, or consistent with, national laws.**

No discussion or emphasis was given to these issues. However, these issues may be discussed through the Technical Teams, which will include some Consultation Group members.

f. **Impartial, accessible and fair mechanisms for grievance, conflict resolution and redress must be established and accessible during the consultation process and throughout the implementation of REDD+ policies, measures and activities**

No such mechanisms were established for the selection process. However, the Consultation Group is expected to establish rules and procedures to govern the group, which may include a grievance mechanism to be used internally.

8. **Lessons and concluding remarks**

The process to establish the Consultation Group began with three volunteers in December 2012 and culminated in a workshop attended by approximately 180 participants who selected 18 representatives from nine different stakeholder groups in August 2013. Numerous meetings and continuous outreach efforts helped build a critical mass for stakeholder engagement in REDD+ in Cambodia. While the process was generally perceived to be fair and transparent, several comments and suggestions were made by the participants for further improvement in the future: ¹⁸

¹⁸ All the interviewees are listed in 9.1.
- **Online-voting should be administered by an independent organization.** Some stakeholders felt that the online voting was not as transparent as it can be. Independent organizations that are not related to any organizations involved in the selection would be more ideal.

- **Participants had difficulty understanding the presentations in English,** even with simultaneous translation. Given that most of the participants are Khmer speakers, the presentations should be in Khmer in order to explain something as complex as REDD+.

- **More time and information should be given to the voters to assess the candidates’ backgrounds before the voting.** Although the candidates’ biographies were distributed in advance and shown at the workshop on the voting day, some candidates felt that the biographies should have been shown on the first day of the workshop. Other comments noted that the candidates did not have an opportunity to speak.

- **Gender imbalance was evident,** not only from the selected representatives consisting of 17 men and 1 woman but also from the candidates (4 female candidates out of 38), and workshop participants (approximately 14% women). The stakeholder groups had a very limited female presence.

### 8.1. Lessons learned

**Awareness to be raised before the selection**

The idea of establishing the Consultation Group came from the understanding that REDD+ concerned different stakeholders. However, if the stakeholders were not aware of REDD+, what it was and how it affected them, their interest in being part of the Consultation Group would be low, which would make a self-selection process unfeasible. While it would be ideal to conduct more awareness-raising activities before the selection took place, the effectiveness of such activities would be limited without knowing who the stakeholders are and what their current level of awareness is. The Consultation Group is expected to fill that gap by establishing a group of relevant stakeholders and becoming both a driver and vehicle in disseminating information, building knowledge and capacity, and making impacts in the REDD+ process through voicing their concerns.

Therefore, the first attempt in conducting a self-selection process for the Consultation Group members would unavoidably face a dilemma between the two: the need to raise awareness and the need to establish a mechanism (leaders and communications lines) to raise awareness. Eventually, the selection process was made possible by a few active VFC members and their peers, who went above and beyond in reaching out and explaining the importance of being part of the Consultation Group.

**Outreach to stakeholders is still a challenge**

While NGO networks exist in Cambodia that can be used to distribute information, reaching out to stakeholders at provincial and community levels remains a significant challenge. Some stakeholders such as CF, CFi, and CPA groups do not yet have a forum to find their collective voice, which made it difficult to find a sizable number of stakeholders from these groups. The geographical distance between these communities and the capital city, and problems with Internet access were amongst added challenges for the VFC.
However, a significant effort was made for IP groups who underwent a parallel process to select their representatives from 15 provinces prior to the Consultation Group selection. Through these representatives, the communication line to the IP groups was established.

**Despite the low level of awareness on REDD+, a demonstration of transparency and self-selection can increase the level of interest and participation from stakeholders**

The two-day workshop was the only direct opportunity to raise awareness during the selection process. Some of the questions that were raised during the workshop revealed a varying degree of understanding about REDD+ and confirmed the need to continue with awareness-raising activities. However, the concept of selecting their own representatives with their vote in a transparent and fair manner attracted more stakeholders than it would have otherwise. For example, during the election, participants demanded that the empty ballot box be shown after counting the votes. While that indicates a strong interest and concern in conducting elections in a transparent manner, it did not correspond with a uniform understanding of the tasks of selected representatives and REDD+ in all the stakeholder groups. Nevertheless, the demonstration of transparency played a role in engaging more stakeholders and conveyed the importance of the anticipated role of the representatives.

**Gender balance**

Female stakeholders were limited to one Consultation Group representative for international NGOs, three IP voters/representatives, two active VFC members and a Communications Officer from the Secretariat who has consistently provided support to the VFC. The difficulty in identifying and attracting female candidates for the Consultation Group members was expected by the VFC members because of the current social norms in Cambodian society, where leaders are usually men, even for organizations with a high proportion of women. However, considerations for female participation were limited to lowering the level of education required for the candidates from certain stakeholder groups.

**Importance of a small group of active stakeholders**

The impact of efforts by a few active VFC members and their peers cannot be overstated. They did not only share the level of understanding and concerns but also saw an opportunity to strengthen their network and have their voices heard. Behind the formal selection process of announcements and deadlines, they talked to stakeholders from different groups, motivated them to be representatives, and helped them fill out the applications.

**Intermediaries behind the scene**

The success of the self-selection process requires support and buy-in from all sides. The Secretariat was extremely cautious about its role and tried its best to be impartial. Staff was able to provide crucial support and guidance to the VFC, while demonstrating respect for their decisions as a multi-stakeholder committee. At the same time, the Secretariat also made sure that the government was informed of the VFC’s decisions by communicating the discussions and explaining the reasons behind the decisions, which helped government officials understand the process of self-selection. As such, the Secretariat played a very important role in building trust behind the scenes.

**Based on the feedback and observations above, the lessons are summarized as follows:**

- Once stakeholders are identified, the levels of their awareness and understanding of REDD+ need to be assessed. Provided that there are time and budget allocated appropriately, the contents of
awareness-raising activities should be developed accordingly for each stakeholder group(s), followed by the implementation of activities before the selection.

- Geographical constraints in reaching out to stakeholders can be overcome if leaders are identified, and communication lines to the community members are established. In the absence of such mechanisms, it is recommended to establish them by conducting representative selections and/or workshops and informational meetings (e.g. IP representative selections).

- The idea of self-selecting their representatives can attract stakeholders with varying degrees of awareness on REDD+. The more transparent the process is demonstrated to be, the more they are interested in the selection and how REDD+ will affect them.

- In order to increase women’s representation, further considerations can be made by setting quotas (in the candidate pool or the representative positions) and/or conducting workshops targeting female stakeholders.

- Setting up a multi-stakeholder group (e.g. VFC) is recommended when running a self-selection process. Their decisions will reflect the voices of different stakeholders and create a process that takes into account of their circumstances. Furthermore, they become an entry point to catalyze stakeholder engagement as well as drivers for awareness-raising.

- It is important to build trust between stakeholders and the government by communicating the progress and discussions related to stakeholder engagement. It will not only help the government officials understand the process of selection, but also respect their decisions in working with the selected representatives in the REDD+ readiness process.

8.2. Concluding remarks

While the process was carefully managed to be as open and transparent as possible by a group of volunteers supported by the Secretariat, key challenges lay in the reality where the level of awareness of REDD+ was low amongst many stakeholders. As a result, the process often depended on the commitment of a small group of concerned individuals. Their persistence in demonstrating the fairness and transparency of the selection process attracted the attention of stakeholders-at-large. With many tasks remain outstanding for the Consultation Group, Cambodia took a major step in implementing the Roadmap for stakeholder consultation and participation in REDD+ readiness.
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Participants at the selection workshop, Phnom Penh, Cambodia, 29 to 30 August 2013
9. Annexes

9.1. List of interviewees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stakeholder Category</th>
<th>Organization/group/province (IP)</th>
<th>Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Academia</td>
<td>Royal University of Phnom Penh</td>
<td>Pheakkdey Nguon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Royal University of Phnom Penh</td>
<td>Seak Sophat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSO</td>
<td>Children Development Association</td>
<td>Smeun Boreyroth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indigenous Peoples</td>
<td>Battambong</td>
<td>Chheurt Chhorn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kg. Speu</td>
<td>Ven Samin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Kg. Thom</td>
<td>Ruon Rean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mondulkiri</td>
<td>Nok Ven</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Odor Meanchey</td>
<td>Song Sith</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Preah Vihear</td>
<td>Men Pak</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pursat</td>
<td>Hem Horn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ratanakiri</td>
<td>Klem Yem</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Siem Reap</td>
<td>Loeurng Srey Mom</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Stoeng Treng</td>
<td>Nath Fat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International NGO</td>
<td>Fauna &amp; Flora International-Cambodia Programme</td>
<td>Yeang Donal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Non-Timber Forest Products - EP/VFC</td>
<td>Femy Pinto</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RECOFTC</td>
<td>Hou Kalyan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Winrock International/VFC</td>
<td>Hour Limchhun</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National NGO</td>
<td>Mlup Baitong</td>
<td>Va Moeurn</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NGO Forum on Cambodia/VFC</td>
<td>Teng Rithiny</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Save the Earth Cambodia/VFC</td>
<td>Akhteruzzaman Sano</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private Sector</td>
<td>Cambodia Timber Industry Association</td>
<td>Lu Chu-chang</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cambodia Timber Industry Association</td>
<td>Shravan Rao</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lighting Engineering &amp; Solution</td>
<td>Sokun Sum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>Forestry Administration - JICA</td>
<td>Naomi Matsue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>REDD+ Taskforce Secretariat</td>
<td>Heang Thy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>REDD+ Taskforce Secretariat</td>
<td>Kimhy Lun</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>REDD+ Taskforce Secretariat</td>
<td>Peter Iversen</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

9.2. Announcement: Looking for 5 Volunteers to be Members of Interim Committee to Select the REDD+ Consultation Group

9.3. Announcement: Call for Experienced Voluntary Stakeholders “18 Representatives to Form REDD+ Consultation Group”

9.4. Consultation Group Candidate Application Form

9.5. Consultation Group Selection Workshop Agenda