

Multiple Benefits: Update

UN REDD Programme, 3rd Policy Board, 29-30 Oct 2009

Barney Dickson, UNEP World Conservation Monitoring Centre



This presentation

1. 3 comments on current negotiations on REDD
2. UN-REDD Global Programme : Current activities on ecosystem multiple benefits
3. Future activities – the next 8 months
4. Where will we be in June 2010





3 comments on current negotiations

- 1. Will there be reference to multiple-benefits in the REDD 'decision'?**
- 2. The notion of 'Safeguards'**
- 3. From REDD to REDD+**
 - Implications for multiple-benefits



Current activities on ecosystem multiple benefits

1. Consultations with pilot countries

- ‘Consultations+’
- Complement National Programme activities on co-benefits

2. Adjustments to the original plan

- Consultations on a country by country basis (not regional)
- Scaling back of ‘training’ activities

3. Aim: development of analyses and tools to support countries to address multiple benefits



Consultation with pilot countries

Indonesia

- UNEP-WCMC mission (12-14 October)
 - Close connections between social and environmental aspects of benefits
 - Links between co-benefits & REDD revenues
 - Relationship between suppliers of benefits and the beneficiaries

Bolivia

- UNEP-WCMC mission (16-20 November)



Consultation+

Vietnam

- Initial visit to Hanoi (7-9 Sept 2009)
- Guidance on assessing ecosystem services in reforested areas
 - Tools for identifying services provided
 - Choosing methods for assessing impacts
 - Complete in Feb/March 2010.

Tanzania

Two Tanzanian GIS specialists to visit UNEP-WCMC (2 – 13 Nov 2009)

Develop spatial (and statistical) analyses of carbon and co-benefits

Present results in Copenhagen



Analyses

- **What are multiple benefits?**
 - Different interpretations – shaped by national circumstances and priorities
 - Social and environmental dimensions
 - Are financial flows from REDD part of the multiple benefits of REDD?
 - What are the opportunities to increase the flow of multiple benefits?
 - Are trade offs necessary?
- **Who are the beneficiaries?**
 - Who receives particular benefits? The global community? The neighbouring province? Indigenous peoples and local communities?
 - Should the beneficiaries to compensate the suppliers?
 - Will this make REDD more successful?



Tools

- Analyses of relationship between carbon stored in forests, threats to that carbon and multiple benefits
 - Spatial analyses (maps)
 - Statistical analyses
 - Economic analyses
- Guidance on policies and measures for promoting multiple benefits
 - Lessons from Payments for Ecosystem Services
 - Lessons from certification schemes, including voluntary carbon market
- Guidance on addressing trade-offs



Future activities

- **Consultations and Consultation+ with:**
 - Panama, Paraguay, DRC, Zambia and Papua New Guinea
 - Making use of existing opportunities
- **International workshop on Multiple Benefits, April 2010**
 - Participants to include:
 - Representatives of all pilot countries
 - Experts on co-benefits
 - CSO/IP representatives
 - Pilot country perspectives and lessons
 - Present draft analyses and tools
 - Draw in expertise UNEP-WCMC lacks



By June 2010

- Analyses and tools for promoting multiple benefits that have been:
 - Worked on by WCMC
 - Informed by consultations with pilot countries
 - Scrutinised by international workshop
- Catalysed the development of a ‘community of interest’ in multiple benefits
 - A range of institutions supporting and learning from the development and implementation in pilot countries of policies and measures to promote multiple benefits